Interview Summary	Application N .	Applicant(s)
	10/085,112	NISHIZAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vicky A. Johnson	3682
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Vicky A. Johnson.	(3)	
(2) Surin der Sachar 34,423	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 December 2003.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No.  If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Prior art of record</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
The Kobayashi reference	Low not le	ach a
Must ratio between 1	he Chrust of	(the
Anna or an lest and the United of the		
following polley. defendance. Durther search and considertion will be done.		
and considertion will be aske.		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	